,

Reply to Office Action of July 14, 2006

<u>REMARKS</u>

Docket No.: 0290065.00120US1

Claims 1 and 2 were rejected on the grounds of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting over U.S. Patent No. 6,542,903. Claims 1 and 2 were also rejected on the grounds of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting over U.S. Patent No. 6,332,138.

In response thereto, Applicants submit herewith executed Terminal Disclaimers directed to U.S. Patent Nos. 6,542,903 which issued on April 1, 2003, by virtue of an assignment recorded in the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, at Reel 015819, Frame 0181 and 6,332,138 which issued on December 18, 2001, by virtue of an assignment recorded in the U.S. Patent and Trademark, at Reel 015819, Frame 0181.

In view of the above, Applicants respectfully request that the obviousness-type double patenting rejection be withdrawn and the pending application be issued a Notice of Allowance.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: September 13, 2006

Gregory 5. Discher Registration No.: 42,488 Attorney for Applicant(s)

Wilmer Cutler Pickering Hale and Dorr LLP 1875 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20006 (202) 663-6000 (telephone) (202) 663-6363 (facsimile)